United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

February 24, 2023 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LAREDO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §
VS. § CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 5:22-CR-1439
§
MARSHALL STEVE MERRIMAN §

ORDER

A federal grand jury charged Defendant with possessing a firearm with a removed, obliterated, or altered serial number, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(k) (Dkt. No. 12). Defendant has moved to dismiss this charge (Dkt. No. 18). He argues that, in light of *New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen*, 142 S. Ct. 2111 (2022), § 922(k) violates the Second Amendment (*id.*). On January 19, 2023, the Government responded to Defendant's motion (Dkt. No. 22).

Two weeks later, the Fifth Circuit decided *United States v. Rahimi*, 59 F.4th 163 (5th Cir. 2023). In *Rahimi*, the Fifth Circuit held a companion provision—§ 922(g)(8)—was unconstitutional under *Bruen*. *Id.* at 168. Although *Rahimi* did not address the statute before this Court—§ 922(k)—the opinion nonetheless "provid[es] insight into how the circuit will apply *Bruen* to § 922 challenges[.]" *United States v. Samien*, No. 5:22-cr-379, 2023 WL 1980487, at *6 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 10, 2023).

Because the parties did not have the benefit of *Rahimi* when they filed their briefs, they are **ORDERED** to submit supplemental briefing. The supplemental materials must brief whether the Second Amendment's "plain text" covers

Defendant's conduct. Rahimi, 59 F.4th at 172 (quoting Bruen, 142 S. Ct. at 2129–30).

The parties must address whether the type of firearm Defendant possessed is "in

common use," such that it falls within the Second Amendment's scope. Id. at 173

(citing Bruen, 142 S. Ct. at 2143). Assuming Defendant's conduct falls within the

Second Amendment's scope, the parties should frame their historical arguments

under Rahimi's "how" and "why" framework: "[The Government must show] how the

challenged law burdens the right to armed self-defense, and why the law burdens

that right." Id. (citing Bruen, 142 S. Ct. at 2133) (italics in original).

The Government is **ORDERED** to submit its supplemental brief by **March**

24, 2023. Defendant is **ORDERED** to submit his supplemental brief by **April 21**,

2023.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED February 24, 2023.

Affancis Ufamolyo Marina Garcia Marmolejo

United States District Judge